

**Hubert H. Humphrey School of Public Affairs
University of Minnesota**

**PA 5002 (003) Introduction to Policy Analysis
Class Number 21474, Fall 2016**

This syllabus includes administrative information, course learning objectives, answers to a series of questions you might have about the course, and an explanation of the ways in which you will be assessed. Contact me immediately if you have questions about the course or the contents of the syllabus.

Class Location and Meeting Time

Room: Bruininks Hall 512A (East Bank)

Time: 1:00 PM - 2:15 PM

Dates: Mondays, Wednesdays, 10/26/2016 - 12/14/2016

Instructor

Greg Lindsey, linds301@umn.edu

HHH 295C, 301 19th Avenue S., Minneapolis, MN 55455

(612) 625-3375

HHH office

(651) 271-2246

Mobile (call before 9:00 p.m.)

Office Hours: Wednesdays, 3:00 p.m. - 5:00 p.m.; as arranged

Teaching Assistant

Lauren Walker Bloem, walk0686@umn.edu

Cube across from HHH 295C

Office Hours: Tuesday, 2-4; as arranged

Moodle Support

Thomas Hazlett, hazle027@umn.edu

Course Prerequisites

Undergraduate degree, permission of instructor

Policy on Accommodation of Students with Disabilities

Consistent with law and with University of Minnesota commitments, policies, and procedures, the Humphrey School provides reasonable accommodations to persons with documented disabilities to ensure equal opportunity to achieve success in their graduate education. Accommodation is your right, and we affirm it, but it is your responsibility to claim it. Students seeking accommodations must work with the University of Minnesota's Office of Disability Services to determine appropriate accommodation. If you seek accommodation for a disability, please contact me immediately to ensure that appropriate accommodation is provided as soon as possible in the semester. We will work with you and the Office of Disability Service to ensure you have every opportunity to succeed.

Course History and Background

The Humphrey Institute of Public Affairs created a Master of Arts degree in Public Affairs in 1969. Thirty years later, in 1999, this program was renamed as the Master of Public Policy (MPP) program. The MPP program first was accredited by the National Association of Schools of Public Affairs and Administration

(NASPAA) in 2007. Because policy analysis has been the *raison d'être* of program, the School has offered a course in policy analysis since its inception. As the MPP curriculum has evolved, the orientation, scope, content, and learning objectives for the course have changed, though the course has retained its focus on policy and policy analysis.

A policy is a guideline or principle for decision-making intended to achieve particular outcomes, often through sets of standardized procedures. Policy analysis is a problem-solving process aimed at identified desirable policies. William Dunn (2012, p. xvii) defines policy analysis as "... an applied social science discipline that employs multiple methods of inquiry to solve practical problems." Policy analysis uses scientific methods, but, for many reasons we will learn about, it is a craft and, when practiced well, an art.

The original version of this course focused principally on a microeconomic approach to policy analysis. When the faculty changed the curriculum to include a separate course on microeconomics, the focus of the policy analysis course broadened to include greater emphases on the policy process and other dimensions of policy analysis, especially the challenge of problem structuring. This version of the course was created in 1999 when the University of Minnesota changed its academic calendar from a quarter system to a semester system and the MPP program was created. The current course description in the catalogue is concise:

PA 5002 Introduction to Policy Analysis (1.5 credit hours) Process of public policy analysis from problem structuring to communication of findings. Commonly used analytical methods. Alternative models of analytical problem resolution.

The emphases in this description are on process and doing: structuring, analyzing, recommending, and communicating. We will learn about the process of policy analysis, first by reading about it, and, consistent with the description, by doing it. In the course of learning, we will acquire competencies and build skills that will help you be successful in your other courses and your professional career. We also will explore the types of ethical issues arise in the course of doing policy analysis.

Course Overview

This course is designed to introduce you to the discipline of policy analysis and help you understand where policy analysis fits within the policy process. Members of the Humphrey School faculty have designed a set of exercises to help you acquire specific competencies: developing a problem statement, problem structuring, developing alternative policy options, evaluation, forecasting, policy simulation, monitoring, and recommendation. We place special emphases on the challenges of problem definition or structuring and communication because these are among the most challenging skills to learn.

Policy analysts use many approaches to structuring and analyzing policy problems: stakeholder analysis, microeconomic policy analysis, risk analysis, decision analysis, and others. Regardless of the approach, participation in the policy process requires appreciation of the institutional and cultural environment within which public problems arise; familiarity with the politics surrounding competing goals and objectives; understanding of the limits of science and technical analysis; and the importance of deeply held values in resolving policy debates. For example, policy choices often involve consideration of efficiency and equity and the many tradeoffs among economic, social, and ethical dimensions of alternative policies. Throughout the class you will have opportunities to practice identifying these types of tradeoffs.

Acquisition of skills in structuring problems is particularly valuable because all subsequent steps in the process of policy analysis are conditioned upon definition of the problem. Policy analysts and the decision-makers they serve sometimes inadvertently arrive at the right answer to the wrong question because they have defined the problem inappropriately. This problem – often called a problem of the third type – may be a symptom of the inability to see the bigger picture, or of not being able to break large

complex problems into smaller solvable problems while retaining focus on the larger problem. The emphasis on problem structuring will help to inform technical analyses of resource allocation, and the relationships between equity and efficiency, risk and uncertainty, and benefits and costs.

We also emphasize study of approaches to formulating policy recommendations and the importance of context where policy analytic methods are used. Good policy recommendations flow from sound policy analysis and research. Often, however, policy analysts do not have enough time or sufficient resources to answer all of the questions or to produce all of the research needed to identify all potential solutions to the policy problem. Instead, the analyst must be guided by decision criteria upon which policy options (or policy alternatives) are identified, evaluated and ranked. The choice of which policy or policies to recommend depends in part on which model of recommendation is adopted. These choices ultimately are subjective and value-laden. As such, they reflect the ethical norms a policy analyst brings to the task.

Course Learning Objectives

Members of the Humphrey School faculty have collaborated to establish learning objectives for this course. Although wording of learning objectives varies somewhat across sections taught by different faculty members, each instructor focuses on similar objectives, uses the same basic text (i.e., *A Practical Guide to Policy Analysis* by Eugene Bardach), and requires students to practice doing policy analysis. In sum, to complete this course successfully, you must be able to explain the process of policy analysis, and you must demonstrate that you can do it.

PA 5002 has seven specific learning objectives, each of which is derived from competencies expected for graduates of graduate programs in public affairs, policy, and management accredited by the Commission on Program Review and Accreditation (COPRA), an accrediting institution affiliated with the Network of Schools of Public Policy, Affairs, and Administration (NASPAA). Table 1 lists five universal competencies specified by NASPAA, the Humphrey School's interpretation or expression of them, and the course learning objectives that are matched to them. As is clear from the matching, the learning objectives and competencies are closely aligned. The link between a learning objective and a competency, however, is subjective and requires professional judgment. Because this course emphasizes analysis and communication more than leadership and management, I've not matched any of the course learning objectives with the competency of leadership and management, though I believe that all those who lead and manage must demonstrate competency in policy analysis. My main point is that the learning objectives for this course are central to the MPP degree program, provide you the opportunity to acquire the competencies expected of all graduates, and establish a foundation for your future professional career in public service.

Course Structure

This course will include a few lectures, discussion, individual and group practice, collaborative learning, and teamwork. PA 5002 historically has been taught as a lecture-discussion class. This year, we are moving more to a problem-based learning approach that involves "flipping" some elements of the class. For example, you will observe several videos and mini-lectures that introduce topics, and we will explore their complexity in workgroups in class. Because research indicates students learn best when actively engaged in trying to solve problems, we will emphasize in-class exercises and professional practice, sometimes individually, but most often with classmates on assignments and your group project. We meet only seven times, so each week will be filled with activity.

Table 1. NASPAA Universal Competencies, Humphrey School MPP Competencies, and PA 5002 Learning Objectives		
NASPAA Universal Competencies	Humphrey School Competencies	PA 5002 Introduction to Policy Analysis Learning Objectives
<i>Students who graduate from a degree program accredited by NASPAA will be able to:</i>	<i>Students who graduate with the MPP degree from the Humphrey School will be able to:</i>	<i>Students who complete Introduction to Policy Analysis successfully will be able to:</i>
1. Participate in and contribute to the public policy process	1. Participate in problem-solving, policy-making, and institutional and societal change in dynamic, uncertain environments.	1. Explain the basic terminology, theories, concepts, models, and tools used by policy analysts in policy-making processes
2. Analyze, synthesize, think critically, solve problems and make decisions	2. Analyze, synthesize, think critically, solve complex problems, and make decisions informed by quantitative, qualitative, economic, and other methods.	2. Use diverse sources of quantitative and qualitative evidence to define and structure policy problems, develop and assess alternative policies, and make concise policy recommendations that convey tradeoffs among alternatives 3. Explain the limitations of evidence and analyses and the implications of uncertainty for policy-making and implementation
3. Articulate and apply a public service perspective	3. Articulate the essential role of public institutions in democratic societies and the importance of democratic values in delivery of public services. 4. Understand conceptions of the common good, acknowledge normative and ethical viewpoints, and promote social justice.	4. Explain the complementary roles of scientific inquiry, technical analysis, ethics, and normative value judgments in policy analysis
4. Communicate and interact productively with a diverse and changing workforce and citizenry	5. Communicate and interact productively with individuals in diverse and changing cultures and communities.	5. Write clear, short, and persuasive evidence-based policy briefs for diverse audiences 6. Make clear, short, and persuasive oral presentations for diverse audiences 7. Work more effectively with others
5. Lead and manage in public governance	6. Lead and manage in governance across sectors, institutions, and diverse populations and cultures. 7. Understand global interdependencies and the implications for governance, policy-making, and implementation.	

Required Readings

Textbook

Bardach, Eugene (2009). *A Practical Guide for Policy Analysis: The Eightfold Path to More Effective Problem Solving*, 3rd ed., Congressional Quarterly Press, Washington, D.C.

Papers, Chapters, Statements, and other Documents (all are posted, chronologically)

Active Living Research. 2015. "Promoting Active Living in Rural Communities".

http://activelivingresearch.org/sites/default/files/ALR_Brief_RuralCommunities_Sept2015.pdf

American Society of Public Administration. 2013. "Code of Ethics".

http://www.aspanet.org/public/ASPA/Resources/Code_of_Ethics/ASPA/Resources/Code%20of%20Ethics1.aspx?hkey=acd40318-a945-4ffc-ba7b-18e037b1a858 (accessed 10/22/2013).

American Society of Public Administration. 2013. "Practices to Promote the ASPA Code Of Ethics"

<http://www.aspanet.org/PUBLIC/ASPADocs/ASPA%20Code%20of%20Ethics-2013%20with%20Practices.pdf> (accessed 8/31/2015).

Anderson, L. Brownson, R., Fullilove, M., Teutsch, S., Novick, L., Fielding, J., and Land. G. (2005).

"Evidence-Based Public Health Policy and Practice: Promises and Limits." *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*: 28(5S), p. 226-230.

Bohrer, J. 2003. "Writing Effective Memos." The Electronic Hallway. University of Washington, Daniel J. Evans School of Public Affairs. Seattle Washington.

Brock, D. No date. "Ethical Issues in the Use of Cost Effectiveness Analysis for the Prioritization of Health Care." Unpublished paper.

<http://www.nyu.edu/gsas/dept/philosophy/courses/bioethics/Papers/EthicalIssues.PDF> (accessed 8/31/2015).

Bromell, David. 2012. "Doing the Right Thing: Ethical Dilemmas in Public Policy-Making." Centre for Theology and Public Issues. Working Paper. Department of Theology and Religion, University of Otago, Dunedin 9054, Aotearoa, New Zealand.

Brown University, Science and Technology Studies. (2013). "A Framework for Making Ethical Decisions". <https://www.brown.edu/academics/science-and-technology-studies/sites/brown.edu/academics/science-and-technology-studies/files/uploads/Framework.pdf>

<https://www.brown.edu/academics/science-and-technology-studies/sites/brown.edu/academics/science-and-technology-studies/files/uploads/Framework.pdf>

Burress, Matt. 2015 (September 25). Memo: REAL ID Act Implementation in Minnesota. Minnesota House of Representatives, Research Department, St. Paul, MN.

Center for Transportation Studies, University of Minnesota. 2012. "Maximizing the Benefits of Transitway Investment". <http://www.cts.umn.edu/research/featured/transitways/maximizing>.

Donahue, A.K. (2011). "Ethics and Public Policy". *Encyclopedia of Public Administration and Public Policy, Second Edition*, pp. 696-700.

Drake, E., Aos, S. and Miller, M. (2009). "Evidence-Based Public Policy Options to Reduce Crime and Criminal Justice Costs: Implications in Washington State." *Victims and Offenders*, 4:170-196.. DOI: 10.1080/15564880802612615

Dunn, William (2012). *Public Policy Analysis*, 5th edition. Pearson Education, Inc.: New Jersey.

- Ch. 1 The Process of Policy Analysis, p. 2-30
- Case 1.1 Goeller Scorecard: Monitoring and Forecasting Technological Impacts, p. 22-26.

Doebel, J.P. et al. 2003. "Memo Writing." The Electronic Hallway. University of Washington, Daniel J. Evans School of Public Affairs. Seattle Washington.

Mahoney, J., and Goertz, Gary. 2006. *A Tale of Two Cultures: Contrasting Quantitative and Qualitative Research*. Oxford University Press.

Maier, Mark H., and Imazeki, Jennifer. 2013. *The Data Game. Controversies in Social Science Statistics*. Fourth Edition. M.E. Sharpe. Armonk, New York, U.S.

- Ch. 2 Demography, *The Data Game*

Munger, M. *Analyzing Policy: Choices, Conflicts and Practices*. Cost-Benefit Analysis, Ch. 11, p. 352-381. W. W. Norton & Company, New York, NY.

- Myers, S. L. Jr., & Xu, M. (2015). "Are Blacks Better Off in Mississippi than in Minnesota?" *Research Brief: Roy Wilkins Center for Human Relations and Social Justice, Humphrey School of Public Affairs, University of Minnesota.*
- Nye, J. 2003. "Brief Guidelines for Writing Action Memos." The Electronic Hallway. University of Washington, Daniel J. Evans School of Public Affairs. Seattle Washington.
- Office of Management and Budget, Information and Regulatory Affairs. "Regulatory Impact Analysis: A Primer". https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/inforeg/regpol/circular-a-4_regulatory-impact-analysis-a-primer.pdf.
- Patton, C. V. (1986). "Being Roughly Right Rather Than Precisely Wrong: Teaching Quick Analysis in Planning Curricula". *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 6: 1. p. 22-29. <http://jpe.sagepub.com/content/6/1/22.full.pdf+html>
- Sadovnik, A. (2006). Qualitative Research and Public Policy. In *Handbook of Public Policy Analysis: Theory, Politics, and Methods* (Vol. 125, pp. 417-427). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Thompson, E., Rosenbaum, D., & Hall, A. (2008). "Evaluating Roads as Investments: A Primer on Benefit-Cost and Economic-Impact Analysis". *Bureau of Business Research Publications*. Paper 5. http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/bbrpub/5/?utm_source=digitalcommons.unl.edu%2Fbbrpub%2F5&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages
- Williams-Taylor, Lisa. (2007). "Research Review Evidence-Based Programs and Practices: What Does it All Mean?" Children's Services Council of Palm Beach County, Boyton Beach FL.

Required Video and Tutorials

Videos and Interactive Tutorials (dates are in schedule on Moodle; links are on Moodle)

- Bloem, Lauren. "Current TA perspective."
- Borton, K. and Intermill, M. (no date). "What is a Management Memo." The Hubert Project. <http://www.youtube.com/user/HubertProject?blend=21&ob=5#p/a/u/1/pLiNedh0cWA> [accessed 1/12/13]
- Friedemann-Sanchez, G. 2015. "The Use of Mixed Methods in Policy Analysis." PA 5002 Video
- Lindsey, G. 2015. PA 5002 Video
 - "Welcome and Learning Objectives."
 - "Assignments."
 - "Conceptual Models in Policy Analysis."
 - "Policy Analysis and Science."
- McFarland, L. & Calaruse, C. 2015 "NASPAA Accreditation and Competencies." PA 5002 Video
- Myers, S. 2015. "On Defining the Policy Problem." PA 5002 Video
- Orgera, Kendall. "Previous TA perspective."
- Shokohzadeh, Audel. "Previous student perspective."
- Svarra, J. 2015. "Public Service Ethics and Policy Analysis." PA 5002 Video
- University of Minnesota Libraries. Workshops, Tutorials, and Guides: "Finding and Evaluating Information" (<https://www.lib.umn.edu/instruction/tutorials>; accessed 8/31/2015):
 - [Creating an Effective Search Strategy](#) (Interactive Tutorial)
 - [Identifying and Using a Library Database](#) (Interactive Tutorial)
 - [Evaluating Sources](#) (Interactive Tutorial)
 - [Exploring a Scholarly Research Article](#) (Interactive Tutorial)
 - [Evidence-Based Practice](#) (Interactive Tutorial)
 - [Getting the most out of Google Scholar](#) (video: 5 min)

- University of Minnesota Libraries. Workshops, Tutorials, and Guides: Communicating Research (<https://www.lib.umn.edu/instruction/tutorials>; accessed 8/31/2015):
 - [Creating Posters in PowerPoint](#) (Interactive Tutorial)
 - [Effective Poster Design Elements](#) (Interactive Tutorial)
 - [Effective Poster Design Judging Exercise](#) (Interactive Tutorial)

Course Schedule and Assignments

Table 2 lists due dates for reading and homework assignments. To complete PA 5002 successfully, you must complete seven assignments:

- A1. Individual Problem Definition Brief (10%)
- A2. Team Problem Definition Brief (10%)
- A3. Team Evidence and Alternatives Brief (20%)
- A4. Team Policy Poster (15%)
- A5. Team Policy Brief (25% including self- and peer assessment)
- A6. Individual Elevator Speech Video (10%)
- A7. Policy Analysis Coaching Memo (10%)

These assignments, which are matched with course learning objectives in Table 3, include both individual and group or team assignments. Seventy percent (70%) of your grade is associated with your team project (A2-A5); 30% will be assigned individually (A1, A6-A7). Your self- and peer assessment will not be graded per se, but your assessment will be used in computation of your team policy brief grade (A5). All assignments will be completed on one of the policy topics listed in Table 4. These topics were chosen for a number of reasons: each is timely, information about each will be readily available, and each is recurring in the sense that the problem always will be a matter of debate. The topics include policy problems at the national, state and local levels – most are important internationally and institutionally as well. An objective was to include a set of issues that reflect the varied interests of Humphrey School students and the expertise of Humphrey School faculty. That said, policy analysis is a general process, many policy analysts work in several areas, and this class will focus on the generality of the analytic process. We will assign teams to each of these topics the first day of class.

We have organized this series of assignments as a simulation. Here is the context:

Assume that you've been hired as a policy analyst for *Policies that Work!*, a nonprofit, bipartisan think tank with the mission of encouraging evidence-based policies that enhance economic opportunity and improve quality-of-life for individuals in the United States. *Policies that Work!* operates at the federal, state, and local levels, recognizes the complexity of democratic governance across levels of government, and is especially concerned about effective intergovernmental relations. In advance of the 2016 elections, *Policies that Work!* has received a large grant from a major foundation to prepare a set of policy briefs to provide candidates background information for establishing policy positions. Depending on the issue, the target audience will vary but could include candidates for city councils, mayoral offices, state legislatures, governorships, or Congress.

Table 2. PA 5002 Course Schedule (subject to change given class progress covering topics)

Week, Date	Class Topics	Readings & Videos (Complete Before Class Session)	Assignments Due Noon on Day of Class
1 10/26/16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Eightfold Path • Exercise: practice in policy analysis • Team assignments • Discussion: Syllabus and course requirements 	PA 5002 Syllabus Bardach <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction • Part I The Eightfold Path, p. 1-11 Videos <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lindsey: PA 5002 Welcome and Learning Objectives • Lindsey: PA 5002 Assignments • McFarland & Calarusse: NASPAA Accreditation and Competencies • Orgera: Previous TA perspective • Shokohzadeh: Previous student perspective • Bloem: Current TA perspective 	
2 10/31/16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion: Models of policy analysis and the policy-making processes • Exercise: problem definition • Discussion: What does a policy brief look like? 	Bardach <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Part I The Eightfold Path, p. 12-78 Dunn <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ch. 1. The Process of Policy Analysis, p. 2-30 Burrell <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Memorandum: REAL ID Act Implementation in Minnesota (look at structure more than content) Video <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Myers: On Defining the Policy Problem • Lindsey: Conceptual Models in Policy Analysis 	
11/02/16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion: Ethics and policy analysis • Exercise: Using the APSA code of ethics • Peer review: DRAFT Individual Problem Definition 	ASPA <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Code of Ethics • Practices to Promote the ASPA Code Of Ethics Brown University <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A Framework for Making Ethical Decision Donahue <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ethics and Public Policy Videos <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Svarra: “ Public Service Ethics and Policy Analysis” Video & Guidelines for Memos (as needed) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hubert Project: What is a Management Memo? • Electronic Hallway: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Boehrer 2003 ○ Doebel et al. 2003 ○ Nye 2003 	A1. DRAFT Individual Problem Definition Brief – bring hard copy to class
3 11/07/16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion: Gathering evidence • Exercise: literature search • Exercise: mapping policy arguments • Team time/meetings 	Bardach <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Part II Assembling the Evidence, p. 79-108 Patton <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Being Roughly Right Rather Than Precisely Wrong UMN Library. Workshops, Tutorials, and Guides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tutorials on search strategies, evaluating sources 	A1. Final Individual Problem Definition Brief (10%)
11/09/16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion: Science, data, and policy analysis • Team time/meetings 	Maier & Imazeki <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ch. 2 Demography, The Data Game Video <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lindsey: Policy Analysis and Science 	

Week	Class Topics	Readings & Videos (Complete Before Class Session)	Assignments Due Noon on Day of Class
4 11/14/16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion: methods and policy analysis 	Mahoney & Goertz <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Tale of Two Cultures: Contrasting Quantitative and Qualitative Research Sadovnik <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Qualitative Research and Public Policy Video Friedemann-Sanchez: Mixed Method 	A2. Team Problem Definition Brief (10%)
11/16/16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion: Best Practices and Evidence-Based Reviews 	Bardach <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Part III Smart “Best” Practices Research, p. 109-124 Anderson et al. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence-Based Public Health Policy and Practice: Promises and Limits Drake, Aos, and Miller <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence-Based Public Policy Options to Reduce Crime and Criminal Justice Costs: Implications in Washington State Williams-Taylor <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research Review: Evidence Based Programs and Practices: What Does it all Mean? 	
5 11/21/16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Doing policy analysis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construct alternatives Select evaluation criteria Project the outcomes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Confront the trade-offs (Decide Team Time 	Dunn <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Case 1.1 The Goeller Scorecard Munger <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Analyzing Policy</i>, Ch. 11 Cost-Benefit Analysis Office of Management and Budget <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory Impact Analysis: A Primer (Circular A-4) Thompson, Rosenbaum, and Hall <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating Roads as Investments: A Primer on Benefit-Cost and Economic-Impact Analysis 	
11/23/16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Examples of policy briefs Discussion and exercise: Presentation of alternatives, outcomes, and criteria Team time/meetings 	Center for Transportation Studies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maximizing the Benefits of Transitway Investment Active Living Research <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Promoting Active Living in Rural Communities Myers & Xu <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “Are Blacks Better Off in Mississippi than in Minnesota?” 	
6 11/28/16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion: Stating recommendations Exercise: making posters in ppt Team time/meetings 	University Library: Workshops, Tutorials, and Guides. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communicating Research: “Creating Posters in Powerpoint,” Effective Poster Design Elements.” 	A3. Team Evidence and Alternatives Brief (20%)
11/30/16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion: Ethical considerations in policy analysis Team time/meetings 	Brock <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ethical Issues in the Use of Cost Effectiveness Analysis for the Prioritization of Health Care Resources Bromell <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Doing the Right Thing: Ethical Dilemmas in Public Policy Making 	

Week	Class Topics	Readings & Videos (Complete Before Class Session)	Assignments Due Noon on Day of Class
7 12/05/16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Team poster presentations (electronic) 	All teams must submit poster. Teams presenting will be chosen randomly. Half of teams will present the following week.	A4. Team Policy Poster (15%)
12/07/16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Team poster presentations (electronic) 		
8 12/12/16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reflection and discussion: Challenges in policy analysis Telling your story: elevator speeches 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To be determined 	A5. Team Policy Brief (25%)
12/14/16			A6. Individual Elevator Speech Video (10%) A7. Memo to intern (10%)

Learning Objectives	Opportunity to Demonstrate Competency
1. Explain the basic terminology, theories, concepts, models, and tools used by policy analysts in policy-making processes	A7. Individual Memo to Intern
2. Use diverse sources of quantitative and qualitative evidence to define and structure policy problems, develop and assess alternative policies, and make concise policy recommendations that convey tradeoffs among alternatives	A1. Individual Problem Definition Brief A2. Team Problem Definition Brief A3. Team Evidence and Alternatives Brief A4. Team Policy Poster A5. Team Policy Brief
3. Explain the limitations of evidence and analyses and the implications of uncertainty for policy-making and implementation	A3. Team Evidence and Alternatives Brief A4. Team Policy Poster A5. Team Policy Brief A6 Individual Elevator Speech
4. Explain the complementary roles of scientific inquiry, technical analysis, ethics, and normative value judgments in policy analysis	A7. Individual Memo to Intern
5. Write clear, short, and persuasive evidence-based policy briefs for diverse audiences	All assignments
6. Make clear, short, and persuasive oral presentations for diverse audiences	A4. Team Policy Poster A7. Individual Elevator Speech
7. Work more effectively with others	A2 – A5

Table 4. Topics for PA 5002 Team Project Assignments.

Policy Issue	Examples of Questions that Conceptualize Policy Problems Differently
1. Early childhood, primary, and secondary education	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Federal, State, Local</u>. What is the most effective way to ensure high school graduates across the United States are equally prepared for life and work? • <u>Federal</u>. Should the federal government establish or encourage minimum educational standards for high school achievement? • <u>State</u>: Should Minnesota provide early childhood education for all Minnesota preschool children?
2. Immigration & Refugees	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Federal</u>. How should the United States address illegal immigration? • <u>Federal</u>. Should minors who enter the United States illegally be deported? • <u>Federal</u>. How should the United States handle its refugee program in the face of increasing numbers of displaced people globally? • <u>Local</u>. Should municipal governments have the right to establish sanctuary zones for immigrants to demonstrate disagreement with federal law?
3. Racial, ethnic, and gender-based disparities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Federal</u>. How can America redress the legacy of slavery and the effects of discrimination? • <u>Federal</u>. Should America pay reparations to descendants of slaves? • <u>Federal, State, Local</u>. What are effective strategies for reducing gender-based wage disparities? • <u>Federal, State, Local</u>. What are policies that would assist working moms?
4. Health and access to care	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Federal</u>: Should Congress amend the Affordable Care Act to address issues in implementation? What changes in the Affordable Care Act would reduce costs and extend coverage to more individuals? • <u>State</u>: What laws can the Minnesota Legislature enact to improve access to health care for Minnesota residents?
5. Campaign finance reform	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Federal</u>: Should Congress adopt new policies to restrict corporate and nonprofit organizations contributions to candidates for Congress? • <u>State</u>: Should the Minnesota legislature adopt legislation to reform current state laws governing campaign finance?
6. Traffic & Infrastructure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Federal</u>: What policies are needed to reduce deaths and injuries associated with vehicular traffic? • <u>Federal, State</u>: How should government respond to the increasing need to update public infrastructure (i.e. roads, bridges, parks, etc.)? • <u>State</u>: Should Minnesota require motorcyclists and bicyclists to wear helmets?
7. Gun control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Federal</u>: What laws should Congress adopt to reduce deaths and injuries associated with firearms? • <u>Federal</u>: Should Congress create new programs to require background checks on all gun purchases? • <u>State</u>: What can the Minnesota legislature do to reduce the number of deaths caused by firearms in the state?
8. Trade & Markets	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Federal</u>: How can the US ensure it does not fall behind in the world economy? • <u>State</u>: How can Minnesota ensure its citizens receive a representative, and equitable, share in national and global economic growth?
8. Environment: Safe, Reliable Sources of Water	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Federal</u>: How can the US ensure that communities have safe, reliable drinking water at reasonable cost? • <u>State</u>: How can Minnesota ensure all residents have safe, reliable drinking water?

Your assignment at *Policies that Work!* is to work individually and as a member of a three or four person team to prepare a policy brief on one issue. You have seven weeks to define the problem, gather evidence, assess alternatives and potential outcomes, make recommendations, and prepare your brief and related materials.

Your assignments are designed to build on each other and give you practice doing policy analysis. Assignment 1. Individual Problem Definition Brief is designed to provide individual practice in defining a problem and to inform your first team's assignments (i.e., A2 Team Problem Definition Brief). Assignments 3 – 5 are designed to simulate a typical policy analytic process, from problem structuring and collection of evidence to making and communicating findings and recommendations concisely in different formats as a member of a team. To underscore the importance of each step in the analytic process, each step in the process will be assessed independently, even though the steps are linked and cumulative (and in practice do not always occur sequentially). This step by step assessment will provide opportunities to learn from and revise previous submissions, thus strengthening your team's final policy brief and poster.

A1. Individual Problem Definition Brief (10%). This assignment is to prepare a problem structuring brief, no more than two pages long, that defines the policy problem to be analyzed. Although you will work on the problem assigned to your team, this brief will be an individual one, addressed to the instructor and your team-mates. The main purpose of this assignment is to give you practice in what is arguably the most difficult and important step in the process of policy analysis, namely, clarification and definition of the problem. This step is most important because the scope of all subsequent steps in the process follow from it. To complete this process, you will want to consider methods of problem definition and structuring described by Bardach. Among other items, your brief should answer the questions:

- What is the problem? Why is this issue a problem?
- Who are some of the stakeholders who are engaged with the problem?
- What are some of the legal, historical, political or economic aspects of the problem?

Your two page brief shall be in 11 or 12 point font, with 1.5 line spacing, and with a minimum of one inch margins. You may include appendixes or end notes if you think they are necessary.

A2. Team Problem Definition Brief (10%). This team assignment is to prepare a problem structuring brief similar to your individual brief but in collaboration with your new team members. Your team should answer the same questions as in your individual brief (A1). The main purpose of this assignment is to give you additional practice in thinking through and redefining a policy problem in a group context. We put together teams to work on policy analyses because the synthesis of multiple perspectives is one way to ensure consideration of the different facets and complexities of a policy problem. Because each person on your team will bring his or her own perspective to your discussions, you will need to talk through and compare and contrast different conceptualizations of the problem. How your team does this is up to members of the team. As with the individual policy structuring brief, this brief shall be no more than two pages in length, in 11 or 12 point font, with 1.5 line spacing, and with a minimum of one inch margins. Appendixes are permissible.

A3. Team Evidence and Alternatives Brief (20%). This team assignment is to prepare a policy brief that:

- builds on your problem definition memo;
- presents factual evidence relevant to the scope, complexity, and tractability of your policy problem;
- summarizes options or alternatives for addressing the problem; and,

- to the extent you can, the forecasts outcomes that you would expect with each alternative.

One goal is to convey the most important quantitative and qualitative information available for understanding the problem and shaping alternative courses of action. A second goal is to illustrate clearly that alternatives require choices among alternatives based on expected outcomes of implementation.

This assignment will give you practice in assessing the importance, validity, and reliability of evidence used in the policy process (i.e., to refine understanding of a problem or, in subsequent steps, to develop and assess alternatives). The key to this brief will be concise, effective presentation of differences in and tradeoffs among the alternatives you develop.

Building on previous submissions, this brief shall be no longer than six pages not counting references. Aspects of your evidence, alternatives, and outcomes must be summarized in an original table or matrixes in the body of the memo. Use the same formatting as in previous submissions; appendixes are permissible.

A4. Team Poster Presentation (15%). This team assignment involves preparation and presentation of a poster – one scalable PowerPoint slide – that summarizes your team’s policy analysis. The main purpose for this assignment is to give you practice in summarizing your work succinctly in a different format prior to submission of your final policy brief. Each team will present its poster in a poster session electronically during class. Details for the poster session will be presented in class.

A5. Team Policy Brief (25%). This team assignment is to prepare a policy brief that presents your team’s policy problem, evidence, policy alternatives and criteria for assessment of them, simple projections of the likely effects and outcomes of the alternatives, your team’s recommendations, discussion of limitations and uncertainty associated with your analyses, and suggestions for monitoring of outcomes. The policy brief must incorporate information from your previous assignments, but should focus on recommendations and other new material. This brief shall include a one-page executive summary; the text shall be no longer than 10 pages, including the summary, but excluding references and appendixes. You shall use the same formatting requirements as in previous briefs.

When you submit your Team Policy Brief, you also must complete a short assessment of the contributions made by your team members and reflection on your own learning during the course. This assignment will not be graded, but the assessments you make of your team members will be used to adjust grades for the team policy brief (A5).

A6. Individual Elevator Speech Video (10%). This individual assignment involves preparation of a very short (< 2 minute) video in which you summarize your team’s research.

Here is the context for A6:

Assume that you’re attending the annual meeting of the Association for Public Policy Analysis and Management (APPAM) to present your *Policies that Work!* team policy brief for peer review. You find yourself walking down the hall alone with the Director of Congressional Research Service (CRS) of the Library of Congress. The Director, who’s very good at small talk, asks you politely what you’re doing at the conference. You take this unexpected opportunity to provide your “elevator speech” summary of your team’s research.

In your Elevator Speech video, you should summarize your *Policies that Work!* team research. The purpose of this assignment is to provide you the opportunity to communicate your mastery of the topic you have spent the past six weeks researching. When completing this assignment, be mindful of the fact

that you must share the most important information first, before your audience leaves the elevator, but also not overstate the certainty of your findings.

You will use Voice Thread to submit the video; instructions will be provided in class.

A7. Individual Intern Memo (10%). Imagine this scenario: your work as a policy analyst has gone well, you've been promoted to the position of Senior Policy Analyst and Project Manager, and you've been assigned the task of orienting and mentoring new *Policies that Work!* interns. As part of orientation, it is your responsibility to help the interns know what to expect when working as a member of a team. You know your interns probably studied Bardach and are familiar with the Eight Fold Path; some likely have studied Dunn or have acquired a deeper understanding of the complexity of policy analysis through experience. As a part of orientation, you decide to write a two-page memo to the students with the subject heading, "The Craft and Art of Policy Analysis: Challenges in Serving the Public Interest". The purpose of this memo is to help your new interns understand the complexity of policy analysis, the many factors that contribute to its complexity, and why these factors always will be present. You may illustrate your argument with references to your research, but this memo is not about your topic – it is about helping others understand why policies that serve the public interest are difficult to develop and implement.

Like other submissions, this memo shall be in 12 point font, with 1.5 line spacing, and no more than 2 pages long.

Policy on Incompletes. You and your team are expected to complete all assignments by their due date and to complete all course work by the last day of class. If you are unable to do so, you must negotiate an incomplete in advance of the particular due date and develop a written contract that describes the work that remains to be completed and the date by which the work will be submitted to the instructor. Except in the case of a documented emergency, failure to meet a deadline without prior notice and agreement will result in a penalty of a minimum of one letter grade.

Commitment to Academic Integrity. I expect the highest level of academic integrity, will adhere strictly to the University of Minnesota Student Conduct Code, and will enforce rules and procedures concerning academic misconduct, including plagiarism, whether inadvertent or intentional. If you are not familiar with activities considered to be academic misconduct, please review the Code: http://regents.umn.edu/sites/regents.umn.edu/files/policies/Code_of_Conduct.pdf (accessed 8/31/2015).

Assessment

Assignments will be weighted as specified in the preceding section. Grades will be assigned according to the following scale:

A	93% - 100%	C	73% - 77%
A-	90% - 92%	C-	70% - 72%
B+	88% - 89%	D+	68% - 69%
B	83% - 87%	D	63% - 67%
B-	80% - 82%	D-	60% - 62%
C+	78% - 79%	F	< 60%